



## Tansley review

# Allometry and stoichiometry of unicellular, colonial and multicellular phytoplankton

Author for correspondence:

John A. Raven  
Tel: +441382 562731  
Fax: +441382 568275  
Email: j.a.raven@dundee.ac.uk

Received: 4 July 2008  
Accepted: 19 September 2008

John Beardall<sup>1</sup>, Drew Allen<sup>2</sup>, Jason Bragg<sup>3</sup>, Zoe V. Finkel<sup>4</sup>, Kevin J. Flynn<sup>5</sup>, Antonietta Quigg<sup>6</sup>, T. Alwyn V. Rees<sup>7</sup>, Anthony Richardson<sup>8,9</sup> and John A. Raven<sup>10</sup>

<sup>1</sup>School of Biological Sciences, PO Box 18, Monash University, Clayton, Vic 3800, Australia; <sup>2</sup>National Center for Ecological Analysis and Synthesis, 735 State St, Suite 300, Santa Barbara, CA 93101, USA;

<sup>3</sup>Civil and Environmental Engineering, MIT 48-208, 18 Vassar St, Cambridge, MA 02139, USA;

<sup>4</sup>Environmental Science Program, Mount Allison University, Avard-Dixon, 144 Main Street, Sackville, Canada E4L 1A7; <sup>5</sup>Institute of Environmental Sustainability, Wallace Building, University of Swansea, Swansea SA2 8PP, UK; <sup>6</sup>Department of Oceanography, Texas A&M University, 5007 Avenue U, TX 77551, USA; <sup>7</sup>Leigh Marine Laboratory, University of Auckland, PO Box 349, Warkworth, New Zealand; <sup>8</sup>Climate Adaptation Flagship, CSIRO Marine and Atmospheric Research, Cleveland, Qld 4163, Australia; <sup>9</sup>University of Queensland, School of Physical Sciences, St Lucia, Qld 4072, Australia;

<sup>10</sup>Division of Plant Sciences, University of Dundee at SCRI, Scottish Crop Research Institute, Invergowrie, Dundee DD2 5DA, UK

## Contents

Summary	1	VI. Allometry of specific growth rates and specific metabolic rates	8
I. Introduction	2	VII. Trophic interactions	9
II. Unicellular, pseudocolonial, colonial and multicellular phytoplankton: definitions, taxonomy and morphology	2	VIII. Global significance of large unicells, colonies and multicellular organisms	9
III. Symbioses	4	IX. Significance of colonies and multicellular organisms relative to large unicells in the phytoplankton	10
IV. Physical constraints on size, morphology and motility	4	Acknowledgements	12
V. Elemental stoichiometry	8	References	12

## Summary

**Key words:** allometry, colony, elemental stoichiometry, multicellular organism, phytoplankton, symbiosis, unicells, vertical motion.

Phytoplankton life forms, including unicells, colonies, pseudocolonies, and multicellular organisms, span a huge size range. The smallest unicells are less than  $1 \mu\text{m}^3$  (e.g. cyanobacteria), while large unicellular diatoms may attain  $10^9 \mu\text{m}^3$ , being visible to the naked eye. Phytoplankton includes chemo-organotrophic unicells, colonies and multicellular organisms that depend on symbionts or kleptoplastids for their capacity to photosynthesize. Analyses of physical (transport within cells, diffusion boundary layers, package effect, turgor, and vertical movements) and biotic (grazing, viruses and

other parasitoids) factors indicate potential ecological constraints and opportunities that differ among the life forms. There are also variations among life forms in elemental stoichiometry and in allometric relations between biovolume and specific growth. While many of these factors probably have ecological and evolutionary significance, work is needed to establish those that are most important, warranting explicit description in models. Other factors setting limitations on growth rate (selecting slow-growing species) await elucidation.

*New Phytologist* (2008) doi: 10.1111/j.1469-8137.2008.02660.x

© The Authors (2008). Journal compilation © *New Phytologist* (2008)

## I. Introduction

Photosynthetic planktonic organisms are extremely diverse with respect to taxonomy, growth form, and size. This polyphyletic group includes cyanobacteria, eukaryotes with chloroplasts derived from cyanobacterial endosymbionts (Raven *et al.*, 2005a,b), photosynthetic eukaryotes with diazotrophic (i.e. nitrogen-fixing) cyanobacterial endosymbionts (e.g. the diatom *Hemiaulus*), nonphotosynthetic ciliates that consume and maintain algae internally as kleptoplastids (e.g. *Myrionecta*), and nonphotosynthetic eukaryotes with photosynthetic symbionts (e.g. Radiolaria). In this review, we consider how the size, morphology, and elemental composition of phytoplankton relate to their functional biology, including traits such as growth rate, predation and resource requirements. Table 1 shows that, while unicellular representatives are found in all higher phytoplankton taxa, colonies are rather less common; very large unicells are restricted to three higher taxa, while multicellular organisms only occur in two higher taxa and pseudocolonies (apparently the result of genetically determined incomplete cell division) are restricted to the Dinophyceae. Understanding the constraints imposed by size, morphology and composition can help elucidate the relative advantages (and/or disadvantages) of being unicellular, colonial or

multicellular. A major aspect of our analysis is the comparison of unicellular organisms, including those that are very large relative to the majority of phytoplankton organisms, with pseudocolonies, colonies and multicellular organisms. Ecological and evolutionary implications associated with the allometry and stoichiometry of these forms will also be considered. Our goal is to understand whether constraints imposed by size, morphology and composition can elucidate the relative advantages associated with being unicellular, colonial or multicellular. From a practical point of view, such information is also of value in determining the description of plankton function types and size structures within mathematical models of plankton ecology.

## II. Unicellular, pseudocolonial, colonial and multicellular phytoplankton: definitions, taxonomy and morphology

### 1. Unicellular organisms

All phytoplankton groups have unicellular species (Table 1) whose size can vary by > 9 orders of magnitude in body volume (Table 2), from < 1  $\mu\text{m}^3$  (an equivalent spherical diameter (ESD) of < 1  $\mu\text{m}$ ) for the cyanobacterium *Prochlorococcus* and

**Table 1** Occurrence of unicells, pseudocolonies, colonies and multicellular organisms in major taxa of phytoplankton organisms

Phylum	Class	Common name	Unicell	Very large unicell	Pseudo-colony	Colony	Multi-cellular
Cyanobacteria	Cyanobacteria	Cyanobacteria	+			+	+
Chlorophyta	Charophyceae		+			+	
Chlorophyta	Chlorophyceae	Green algae	+			+	+
Chlorophyta	Prasinophyceae	Green algae	+	+		+	
Chlorophyta	Trebouxiophyceae		+			+	
Dinophyta	Dinophyceae	Dinoflagellates	+	+	+		
Haptophyta	Pavlovophyceae		+				
Haptophyta	Prymnesiophyceae	Coccolithophores	+			+	
Heterokontophyta	Bacillariophyceae	Diatoms	+	+		+	
Heterokontophyta	Chrysophyceae		+			+	
Heterokontophyta	Eustigmatophyceae		+				
Heterokontophyta	Synurophyceae		+			+	

Information is from Kahn & Swift (1978), Van den Hoek *et al.* (1995), Kirk (1998), Villareal *et al.* (1999), Graham & Wilcox (2000), Kaiser (2001) and Hoppenrath & Leander (2007). Large unicells are defined as cells with a volume > 10<sup>7</sup>  $\mu\text{m}^3$ ; equivalent spherical diameter (ESD) >267  $\mu\text{m}$ .

**Table 2** Maximum sizes of unicellular, pseudocolonial, colonial, and multicellular photosynthetic planktonic organisms

Structure	Organism	Volume ( $\mu\text{m}^3$ )	Reference
Unicellular	<i>Prochlorococcus</i>	$10^0$	Ting <i>et al.</i> (2007)
Unicellular	<i>Ostreococcus</i>	$10^0$	Courties <i>et al.</i> (1994)
Unicellular	Coccolithophore	$10^4$	Van den Hoek <i>et al.</i> (1995)
Unicellular	<i>Pyrocystis</i> (dinoflagellate)	$10^7$	Kahn & Swift (1978)
Unicellular	Prasinophyte phycoma	$10^9$	Van den Hoek <i>et al.</i> (1995)
Unicellular	<i>Ethmodiscus</i> (diatom)	$10^9$	Villareal <i>et al.</i> (1999)
Unicellular	Foraminiferan + photobiont	$10^{10}$	Caron <i>et al.</i> (1995)
Unicellular	Acanthophoran + photobiont	$10^{11}$	Caron <i>et al.</i> (1995)
Unicellular	Radiolarian + photobiont	$10^{13}$	Caron <i>et al.</i> (1995)
Pseudocolonial	Dinoflagellate <i>Polykrikos</i>	$10^4$	Hoppenrath & Leander (2007)
Colonial	Cyanobacterium <i>Microcystis</i>	$10^7$	Van den Hoek <i>et al.</i> (1995)
Colonial	Cyanobacterium <i>Trichodesmium</i>	$10^{11}$	Van den Hoek <i>et al.</i> (1995)
Colonial	Diatom <i>Rhizosolenia</i>	$10^{13}$	Shipe & Brzezinski (1999)
Colonial	Radiolarian + photobiont	$10^{14}$	Caron <i>et al.</i> (1995)
Colonial	Chlorophycean <i>Hydrodictyon</i>	$10^{16}$	Van den Hoek <i>et al.</i> (1995)
Multicellular	Cyanobacterium <i>Anabaena</i>	$10^3$	Van den Hoek <i>et al.</i> (1995)
Multicellular	Chlorophycean <i>Volvox</i>	$10^9$	Kirk (1998)

Values for *Prochlorococcus* and *Ostreococcus* represent the minimum cell sizes of cyanobacteria and eukaryotes, respectively.

the eukaryotic green alga *Ostreococcus* (Prasinophyceae), to over  $10^9 \mu\text{m}^3$  (ESD > 1 mm) for the diatom (Bacillariophyceae) *Ethmodiscus* (Courties *et al.*, 1994; Moore & Villareal, 1996; Villareal *et al.*, 1999). Among photosynthetic eukaryotes, the division Chlorophyta (green algae), class Prasinophyceae, includes both the smallest free-living eukaryote, *Ostreococcus* (ESD = 0.95  $\mu\text{m}$ ; Courties *et al.*, 1994), and taxa with volumes of nearly  $10^9 \mu\text{m}^3$  in the nonmotile, phycoma stage (Van den Hoek *et al.*, 1995; Dotzler *et al.*, 2007). By contrast, coccolithophores, class Prymnesiophyceae, never exceed  $10^4 \mu\text{m}^3$  in volume (Van den Hoek *et al.*, 1995). In the phylum Dinoflagellata, the largest dinoflagellates with flagella have volumes of  $10^5 \mu\text{m}^3$  (Menden-Deuer & Lessard, 2000). The cell size range can be extended another 4 orders of magnitude by including nonphotosynthetic eukaryotes with photosynthetic symbionts, such as Foraminifera ( $10^{10} \mu\text{m}^3$ ), Acanthophora ( $10^{10} \mu\text{m}^3$ ), and Radiolaria ( $10^{13} \mu\text{m}^3$ ).

## 2. Pseudocolonial organisms

Typically dinoflagellates possess two flagella; one (the transverse flagellum) may be contained in a groove-like structure around the equator of the organism (the cingulum) and provides forward motion and spin to the dinoflagellate, and the other (the longitudinal flagellum) trails behind, acting as a rudder, and provides little propulsive force. Colonies of the marine dinoflagellate *Polykrikos*, however, are best regarded as 'pseudocolonies' because they result from a series of incomplete cell divisions (Table 1). One consequence of these incomplete divisions is that the total number of flagella does not match the number of nuclei in the coenocytes (Van den Hoek *et al.*, 1995; Hoppenrath & Leander, 2007). The resulting

multi-nucleated organisms can have volumes of up to  $10^4 \mu\text{m}^3$  (Table 2).

## 3. Colonial organisms

Colonial organisms occur in the cyanobacteria, Bacillariophyceae, Charophyceae, Chlorophyceae, Chrysophyceae, Pavlovophyceae and Prymnesiophyceae (Table 1). These colonies consist of aggregates of well-differentiated, morphologically identical cells of the same genotype that form one-dimensional filaments (also referred to as chains), two-dimensional mats (also referred to as plates), or three-dimensional cylinders, spheres, or amorphous structures (Van den Hoek *et al.*, 1995; Graham & Wilcox, 2000). Colonial organisms can be as small as a few  $\mu\text{m}$  in ESD, or many orders of magnitude larger. Aspects of nonmotile and motile colonies are considered further in the Supporting Information (Text S1).

Motile colonies comprise freshwater representatives from three algal classes – Chlorophyceae, Chrysophyceae and Synurophyceae – and freshwater ciliates with photosynthetic symbionts (Sand-Jensen *et al.*, 1997). Chrysophyte and synurophyte colonies are spherical, with a highly variable number of cells. Chlorophytes can be in mats (*Gonium*) or spheroidal (e.g. *Pandorina*), and have a fixed ( $2^n$ ) number of cells. Some nonmotile colonies (e.g. *Hydrodictyon* and *Phaeocystis*) also have motile unicells as part of their life cycle (Van den Hoek *et al.*, 1995; Graham & Wilcox, 2000).

## 4. Multicellular organisms

Here we define multicellular organisms as having many cells of the same genotype, in which there is some level of

morphological differentiation and division of labour among cell types (Kirk, 1998, 2005; Kaiser, 2001). There are few examples of multicellular photosynthetic plankton defined in this way. We consider two here: the Nostoclean cyanobacteria, and *Volvox* in the Chlorophyceae (Table 1).

Nostoclean cyanobacteria comprise ~5- $\mu\text{m}$  ESD cells, which form filaments that can be hundreds of  $\mu\text{m}$  long (Table 2). In *Nostoc* (which is typically free-living in freshwater benthos or on land, and is also symbiotic), aggregations of the multicellular organisms form attached or unattached 'balls' on land and in water (Dodds *et al.*, 1995). Filaments consist of vegetative cells responsible for photosynthesis, heterocysts (cells responsible for nitrogen fixation), and (in some cases) resting-stage cells referred to as akinetes. Heterocysts maintain low-oxygen environments internally, allowing nitrogen fixation by oxygen-sensitive nitrogenase reductase–nitrogenase complexes to proceed even in the light when adjacent vegetative cells are photosynthesizing. By contrast, filamentous colonies of the nonheterocystous marine diazotroph *Trichodesmium* appear to maintain the low oxygen concentrations necessary for nitrogen fixation without cell differentiation in the strict sense (Berman-Frank *et al.*, 2003). However, it should be noted that even single filaments of *Trichodesmium* are capable of fixing nitrogen in the light (Milligan *et al.*, 2007) without recourse to a colonial form, possibly through the formation of micro-anaerobic zones between and within tightly packed cells. We consider factors limiting the distribution of heterocystous cyanobacteria in the Supporting Information (Text S1).

*Volvox* is a flagellate genus of green algae that forms hollow spherical colonies up to 1 mm in diameter, made up of (approximately)  $2^n$  cells (*c.* 500 to several thousand) (Table 2). Cell differentiation in *Volvox* is related to colony size; multicellularity is only achieved by large colonies, which comprise somatic and germline cells. Large colonies are denser than their freshwater environments, and would sink below the euphotic zone in the absence of flagella (Kirk, 1998, 2005, 2007; Raven, 1998b; Solari *et al.*, 2006a,b), which allow diel vertical excursions of over 20 m. The evolution of migration in *Volvox* is considered in the Supporting Information (Text S1).

### III. Symbioses

Unicellular and colonial forms of photosynthetic plankton sometimes maintain symbioses. For example, the marine diatoms *Rhizosolenia* (unicellular or colonial) and *Hemiaulus* (colonial) can obtain at least a fraction of their nitrogen requirements through the nitrogen-fixing activities of cells of the diazotrophic cyanobacterium *Richelia* that they have incorporated as (probably) vertically transmitted endosymbionts (Janson *et al.*, 1999). Other planktonic organisms are photosynthetic because they have photosynthetic symbionts. Among ciliates, mixotrophs of unicellular (e.g. *Stentor* spp.: Laybourn-Parry *et al.*, 1997; Woelfl & Geller, 2002; Modenutti *et al.*,

2005) and colonial (*Ophrydium versatile*: Sand-Jensen *et al.*, 1997; *Ophrydium naumanni*: Modenutti & Balseiro, 2002) forms are sometimes symbiotic with *Chlorella*, and can be the dominant primary producers in some inland water bodies. In the ocean there are photosynthetic symbioses of some planktonic acantharia, coels, foraminifera and radiolarians, and a photosynthetic kleptoplastidic ciliate (see Supporting Information (Text S1) for more details on symbioses).

## IV. Physical constraints on size, morphology and motility

### 1. Intracellular transport

All cells must deal with the problem of intracellular transport. Unicellular phytoplankton have a minimum cell size of just  $1 \mu\text{m}^3$ , which is perhaps proximally influenced by ecological factors, but ultimately dictated by nonscalable aspects of cell structure (Raven, 1998a). Examples of nonscalable structures that could restrict further decreases in size to much below  $1 \mu\text{m}^3$  are proteolipid bilayer membranes based on  $\text{C}_{16}$ – $\text{C}_{18}$  fatty acids (hence *c.* 8 nm thick), genomes which, for photolithotrophs, probably cannot have fewer than *c.* 1400 genes, and ribosomes. Individual proteins are also nonscalable but would not restrict decreases in cell size until much smaller hypothetical cell sizes. As cell size increases, the surface-to-volume quotient decreases and the average transport distance within the cell increases, so diffusion becomes increasingly inadequate as a means of maintaining constant solute concentrations throughout the cytosol of the cell (Nobel, 2005). The influence of cell shape on intracellular transport is considered in the Supporting Information (Text S1).

The largest diatom cells, along with the largest desmid cells (charophyceans which are a few tens of  $\mu\text{m}$  thick and 0.4 mm in diameter), lack the capacity to distribute solutes using cytoplasmic streaming. Both groups have a single nucleus that is located near the centre of the cell. For diatoms, it is in the centre of the vacuole and connected to the peripheral cytoplasm by cytoplasmic strands. The movement of nuclear transcripts from a single source might create problems for the transport of mRNAs and proteins – particularly proteins with large molecular masses and hence low diffusivities – through the cytosol to appropriate sites such as plastids and mitochondria. Maintaining solute fluxes at the rates required for growth over distances of up to hundreds of  $\mu\text{m}$  requires larger gradients (expressed as moles per cubic metre concentration difference per metre of pathway) because average transport distance, which scales with cell volume,  $V$ , as  $\propto V^{1/3}$ , is not entirely offset by declines in specific growth rate with increasing cell size ( $\propto V^{-\alpha}$ ,  $\alpha < 1/3$ ; see Section V below). Vacuolation helps to increase the surface area for interception of photosynthetically active radiation (PAR) and for nutrient influx per unit metabolizing volume (i.e. that part of the cell not occupied by the vacuole; Raven, 1997a), but does not explicitly help with

the problems of intracellular transport from the nucleus to the extremes of the cell.

Larger transport distances may also increase the difficulty of synchronizing the expression of nuclear and organelle (chloroplast and mitochondrion) genes. The majority of endosymbiont genes that have been retained – mainly for organelle functions (Reyes-Prieto *et al.*, 2006) – have been transferred to the nucleus. Only a small minority of genes are retained in the organelle, perhaps in part because rates of mutagenesis can be relatively high (Allen & Raven, 1996). Synchronizing of gene expression is made difficult because organelle genomes are only a few  $\mu\text{m}$  from the site at which the resulting genes function, while nuclear genes can be a few hundred  $\mu\text{m}$  from the site of function in organelles. For chloroplasts, this is true regardless of whether a cell has many small chloroplasts or a single, large, reticulate chloroplast, because multiple copies of the chloroplast DNA are dispersed throughout a single large plastid (Kuroinia *et al.*, 1981).

Further quantitative work is needed to determine the extent to which these potential synchronization problems in large cells actually occur and, if they do, whether these are significant in the context of the specific growth rate of *Ethmodiscus* of  $\leq 0.39 \text{ d}^{-1}$  (Villareal *et al.*, 1999). In this context, it is of interest that larger cells of colonial *Hydrodictyon*, which are planktonic algae with cell volumes (mainly vacuole) of up to several  $\text{mm}^3$ , are multinucleated and lack cytoplasmic streaming (Van den Hoek *et al.*, 1995; Graham & Wilcox, 2000). Larger, benthic differentiated unicellular (or perhaps better described as acellular) ulvophycean green algae, such as *Bryopsis* and *Caulerpa* (Caulerpales = Bryopsidales; Graham & Wilcox, 2000), are generally also multinucleate, but do possess cytoplasmic streaming (Raven, 2003). However, algae such as *Hydrodictyon* have a regular spatial arrangement of nuclei, each surrounded by a similar-sized cytoplasmic ‘domain’ that may be determined by the cytoskeleton, whereas the arrangement of nuclei within members of the Caulerpales is random and not associated with the cytoskeleton (McNaughton & Goff, 1990). Taken together, these findings suggest that larger cells may maintain greater numbers of nuclei as a means of reducing intracellular transport distances, but that cytoplasmic streaming may be important when the size of nuclear ‘domains’ is variable. By contrast, members of the Dasycladales (e.g. *Acetabularia*; Van den Hoek *et al.*, 1995; Graham & Wilcox, 2000) are uninucleated through most of their life cycle despite being relatively differentiated and having a maximum dimension of tens of mm (see Serikawa *et al.*, 2001). These organisms possess cytoplasmic streaming and it is thought that the cytoskeleton (actin filaments) is involved in transport and/or localization of mRNA (Vogel *et al.*, 2002). These roles of cytoplasmic streaming are additional to their involvement in transferring low molecular mass solutes within large differentiated organisms with spatially localized functions and resource sources (Raven, 2003).

## 2. Diffusion boundary layers

A diffusion boundary layer exists around all objects in a fluid medium; the thickness of this layer is the distance from the surface within which all solute and solvent movement normal to the surface of the organism is by diffusion (Denny, 1992). Theoretical considerations (e.g. Denny, 1992; Raven, 1998a) predict that the external diffusion boundary layer thickness is equal to the radius of the organism for a spherical cell, although the boundary layer thickness is smaller than this prediction for radii  $> 50 \mu\text{m}$ . Whether this layer effectively leads to growth limitation depends on the balance of nutrient concentration in the bulk medium and the rates of diffusivity and nutrient transport at the cell surface. Diffusive limitation of growth when the concentrations of nutrients such as nitrate and phosphate are low is, all else being equal, more likely for colonies composed of cells of  $< 50 \mu\text{m}$  radius than for unicells of the same size as the cells in the colony. This is because, as we shall see in Section VI, the potential specific growth rate decreases with increasing cell or colony size less rapidly than would maintain the relative importance of diffusive limitation of nutrient supply by the diffusion boundary layer in the overall uptake process.

The greater importance of boundary layers is consistent with the generally observed increase in the concentration of nutrient needed to give half the maximum rate of nutrient uptake with increasing cell size for unicells (Eppley *et al.*, 1969; Litchman *et al.*, 2007; cf. Smith & Kalff, 1982). Even allowing for any decrease in maximum specific growth rate with increasing cell size (Section VI) it would be expected that the proportionally greater restriction on the rate of uptake by diffusion through boundary layers would have the effect of decreasing the apparent affinity of the entry of solutes that reach saturation as the concentration increases. Such saturation can arise from either a plasmalemma-located transporter (e.g. of  $\text{CO}_2$  or  $\text{HCO}_3^-$  in photosynthesis by most algae) or diffusive entry through nonsaturating mechanisms (e.g. by lipid solution) followed by assimilation using an enzyme (e.g. in the minority of algae that lack inorganic carbon concentrating mechanisms (CCMs)) (Raven *et al.*, 2005a,b; see also Raven *et al.*, 2008 for a discussion of  $\text{NH}_3/\text{NH}_4^+$  entry and assimilation). There are, however, serious methodological problems in determining half-saturation constants for growth, with those measured experimentally being somewhere between the real (free of experimental problems) half-saturation constant for growth ( $K_g$ ) and that for the actual transporter ( $K_t$ );  $K_g$  is likely to be very much less than  $K_t$  (Flynn, 1998). In the absence of systematic measurements of the affinity of transporters and enzymes in a more resolved system with minimal and constant restrictions imposed by diffusion of substrate, it is not possible to be sure whether the diffusion boundary layer effects account for all the apparent decrease in affinity *in vivo* with increasing cell size, or whether there is a relaxation in selection for a high affinity of the transporters or

enzymes for their substrates when such affinities cannot be fully expressed *in vivo* because of diffusive limitation or because of regulation of the transporters (Flynn, 1998).

Direct measurements of boundary layer thickness in phytoplankton organisms using microsensors have only proved possible for relatively large colonial planktonic organisms such as *Phaeocystis* (Ploug *et al.*, 1999a,b), *Trichodesmium* (Carpenter *et al.*, 1990), a symbiotically photosynthetic freshwater ciliate (Sand-Jensen *et al.*, 1997), unicells such as planktonic symbiotic Foraminifera (Jørgensen *et al.*, 1985; Rink *et al.*, 1998; Köhler-Rink & Kühl, 2005), and a large centric diatom (Kühn & Raven, 2008).

The diffusion boundary layer around *Phaeocystis* colonies was found to be consistent with theoretical expectations in the study of Ploug *et al.* (1999a,b). Differences in phosphate affinity between single filaments and 'puff' and 'tuft' colonies of filamentous *Trichodesmium* also appear consistent with theory, at least qualitatively (McCarthy & Carpenter, 1979; Fu *et al.*, 2005). The low affinity (here measured as the reciprocal of the half-saturation concentration, but more appropriately expressed as the substrate-saturated rate divided by the half-saturation concentration) for inorganic carbon (not saturated in air-equilibrated seawater) in diazo-photolithotrophic growth of *Trichodesmium* might also be related to diffusive boundary layers around 'puffs' and 'tufts' (Hutchins *et al.*, 2007; Levitan *et al.*, 2007; Ramos *et al.*, 2007). This is because this marine  $\beta$ -cyanobacterium has a subset of the CCM components typical of freshwater  $\beta$ -cyanobacteria (Badger & Price, 2003; Badger *et al.*, 2006), which might be expected to give saturation of photosynthesis in air-equilibrated seawater if there were no significant boundary layer limitations. Tchernov & Lipschultz (2008) reported changes in stable carbon isotope discrimination ( $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ ) in *Trichodesmium* that are consistent with enhanced diffusion limitation of  $\text{CO}_2$  supply in larger colonies.

Evidence for such boundary layer effects has, however, been mixed. For example, specific growth rates have been found to be higher for phytoplankton colonies than for unicells in the studies of Veldhuis *et al.* (2005: see Section VI for further work on *Phaeocystis*) and Wilson *et al.* (2006). It is noted that, in these studies, growth was probably not nutrient-limited. Nutrient transport capacity develops rapidly with nutrient stress (e.g. Flynn *et al.*, 1999), when substrate limitation is important, and this compounds problems of measuring half-saturation constants (Flynn, 1998). Overall, these examples highlight the need for further investigation of the biological implications of the decreased diffusive conductance of the boundary layer around colonies in comparison with those around isolated unicells from colonies.

Larger colonies or organisms with flagella, such as *Volvox*, can have significant reductions in diffusive limitation on nutrient flux at the surface of the colony or organism as a result of advection by the flagella (Short *et al.*, 2006; Solari *et al.*, 2006a,b). Any restrictions on solute fluxes between the colony surface and the bulk medium would favour the retention

of extracellular inorganic or organic storage compounds of low molecular mass, if these are truly storage (re-used) rather than simply accumulated compounds (Raven, 1982, 1997a). However, the possibility of long-term (more than a doubling time) storage of such compounds seems remote (Reynolds, 2007; but see Flynn & Gallon, 1990) in view of the known diffusivities of low molecular mass materials in the mucilage and the permeability of the pellicle of *Phaeocystis* to compounds at least as large as sucrose (Hamm *et al.*, 1999). Flynn & Gallon (1990) present evidence consistent with short-term (over a light/dark cycle) storage of free amino acids in mucilage surrounding the unicellular diazotrophic cyanobacterium *Gloeotheca*. We shall see later that the potential for storage of low relative molecular mass ( $M_r$ ) compounds is greater in vacuoles, which are found predominantly in larger cells (Raven, 1995b; Menden-Deuer & Lessard, 2000), because vacuoles are much less intrinsically leaky (flux of solute per unit area per unit driving force acting on the solute) than are extracellular matrices, or pellicles.

The modelling by Yoshiyama & Klausmeier (2008) of optimal cell sizes for optimal nutrient uptake by planktonic microorganisms integrates external diffusion, membrane transport and intracellular assimilation in relation to the size of resource molecules. As Yoshiyama & Klausmeier (2008, p. 68) point out, the application of this model to large phytoplankton unicells requires explicit consideration of the large fraction of the volume occupied by vacuoles in these organisms, which impacts not only on the balance of the two transport components relative to the assimilation term in the model but also on intracellular transport, resource storage, vertical motion and the package effect (Raven, 1984, 1997a).

### 3. Package effect

The 'package effect' involves a decrease in the specific absorption coefficient of a pigment molecule when it is aggregated versus homogeneously distributed. Theory and observation indicate that photon absorption per unit pigment will decrease with cell volume in unicells for any fixed pigment concentration (Duysens, 1956; Agusti, 1991). The occurrence of cells in a colony (or multicellular organism) relative to the same cells occurring in isolation would be expected to increase both the package effect with regard to the absorption of PAR and UV (Kirk, 1975a,b, 1976, 1994; Raven, 1984, 1991; Garcia-Pichell, 1994) and the restriction on nutrient uptake from low external concentrations as a result of the greater thickness of the diffusion boundary layers around larger objects (Raven, 1989, 1998a). Other factors being equal, both of these effects would have the same impact on a colony as on a giant unicell or multicellular organism of the same size and shape (Kirk, 1975a,b, 1976, 1994; Raven, 1984, 1989, 1998a; Garcia-Pichell, 1994).

Theoretical predictions regarding the package effect are consistent with empirical data on the effects of organism size

obtained for *Microcystis* in a comparison of colonies with isolated unicells (Ganf *et al.*, 1989), and with empirical data on the effects of varied chromophore concentrations obtained for *Phaeocystis* in a comparison of colonies grown at different irradiances (Moisan & Mitchell, 1999). These studies specifically addressed absorption of PAR where, within limits, 'more is better'. However, the same physical principles apply to the absorption of UV-B radiation (Raven, 1991; Garcia-Pichell, 1994) where 'less is better'. Extracellular polysaccharides as part of colony structure, whether homogeneously dispersed or in a pellicle, do not absorb significant UV-B, but could potentially facilitate the attachment of UV-B screening compounds.

In general, extracellular compounds are better able to screen the protoplast than intracellular compounds. *Phaeocystis* colonies appear to have extracellular as well as intracellular UV-B screening compounds (Riegger & Robison, 1997; Moisan & Mitchell, 2001). However, the effectiveness of the screening compounds in these colonies is not sufficient to make them less sensitive to UV-B than co-occurring unicellular diatoms (Riegger & Robison, 1997); overall effects of UV-B are not just a function of screening compounds, but also of tolerance and damage repair (see e.g. Heraud & Beardall, 2000).

Any differences in the size dependence of the package effect and intracellular pigment composition between unicellular and colonial organisms could contribute to differences in the size scaling of light-limited or photoinhibited photosynthesis and growth between these growth forms.

#### 4. Vertical movement

Upward and downward movement of cells is affected by a variety of factors. Vertical movement is important for phytoplankton because it can influence access to light and nutrients, as well as the eventual loss by sinking of all nonmotile cells that are denser than the medium. All else being equal, a larger object is expected to move more rapidly through a medium than a smaller one (formalized in Stokes' Law). Although this is a complex area to investigate experimentally (Walsby & Holland, 2006), it is pertinent to our consideration of coloniality, as it means we might expect a colony to move more rapidly than its constituent cells.

Many other properties of phytoplankton can also affect their vertical movements. For nonflagellate phytoplankton, downward movement is favoured by increasing the 'ballast' through storage of carbohydrate (Boyd & Gradmann, 2002) or mineralization. Upward movement in some cyanobacteria is achieved using gas vesicles, with downward movement occurring when this buoyancy is more than offset by polysaccharide ballast (Walsby, 1994). *Phaeocystis* colonies have been observed to be buoyant in seawater (Peperzak *et al.*, 2003) by an unknown mechanism, presumably involving manipulation of solute content (Boyd & Gradmann, 2002) as eukaryotes lack gas vesicles.

In freshwater eukaryotes, the capacity to rise relative to the immediate environment probably cannot be achieved by

decreasing density by either changing intracellular (vacuolar) solutes or decreasing the 'ballast' provided from storage of carbohydrate (see Boyd & Gradmann, 2002). Positive buoyancy cannot readily be achieved by accumulating lipids even as a large fraction of the biomass, although this can occur in some strains of the green alga *Botryococcus* with up to 75% hydrocarbon in the dry weight (An *et al.*, 2004). The 'genus' *Botryococcus* mainly contains strains from Trebouxiophyceae, although two strains occur in different clades within the Chlorophyceae (Serousy *et al.*, 2004).

The capacity to move upwards relative to the surrounding water can be attained, and is certainly most readily controlled, by flagellar motility. Small freshwater colonies and unicells of the size of individual cells of *Volvox* can only swim at up to  $c. 150 \mu\text{m s}^{-1}$  (e.g. the freshwater *Cryptomonas phaseolus* has an ESD of  $c. 10 \mu\text{m}$ ; Pedrós-Alió *et al.*, 1987). However, large *Volvox* colonies of  $> 1 \text{ mm}$  ESD can swim at speeds of  $> 1 \text{ mm s}^{-1}$  as a result of decreased friction relative to the available energy per unit volume to power flagella. Limits on this available energy for a spherical structure come from the necessarily superficial disposition of the flagellate cells and the package effect, which limits the possibility of increased PAR absorption by the light-harvesting apparatus as fractional absorption approaches 1.0 (Raven, 1984).

The ecological and evolutionary relevance of possible cyclic upward and downward movement by phytoplankton seems to relate to inverse gradients of PAR (highest at the surface) and nutrients such as nitrogen (N) and phosphorus (P) (highest at depth), combined with a small vertical component of water movement in the upper mixed layer/epilimnion. These ecological conditions are met, at least as far as gradients are concerned (see modelling by Flynn & Fasham, 2002), for very large unicellular diatoms and colonies of large diatom cells (e.g. species of *Coscinodiscus*, *Ethmodiscus* and *Rhizosolenia*), prasinophyte phycmata, large dinoflagellates in parts of the oligotrophic ocean, dinoflagellates in fjords, and *Volvox* in lakes (Swift & Meunier, 1976; Kahn & Swift, 1978; Raven & Richardson, 1984; Kirk, 1998; Raven, 1998b). Migrations generally occur on a diel basis (near the surface during the day; deeper at night), but can take several days in the oligotrophic ocean. The vertical migration of *Microcystis* has been modelled by Rabouille *et al.* (2005) and Rabouille & Salençon (2005), and that of the dinoflagellate *Alexandrium* by Flynn (2002). For *Trichodesmium* in an oligotrophic region of the north Pacific, modelling suggests the possibility of migrations between the upper euphotic zone and the phosphocline, but only for colonies above a threshold size (White *et al.*, 2006). However, it must be remembered that these vertically migrating phytoplankton organisms share their habitat with photolithotrophs that do not show such migration and also with potential predators that often migrate upwards during darkness: as usual in ecology, one size does not fit all.

Ecological interpretation of these different characteristics of phytoplankton organisms is complicated by properties of the

physical environment. For example, turbulence is a determinant of the rate of sinking. Recent findings indicate that turbulence can increase the average settling velocity of phytoplankton cells, rather than decreasing it, as had earlier been believed (Ruiz *et al.*, 2004), although the generality of these findings remains to be tested there may also be more rapid formation of cell aggregates under turbulent conditions; aggregates sediment very much more rapidly than single cells (Jackson, 1990). Also, the mixing depth affects the distribution of nutrients in the water column, and the probability of cells migrating to the nutricline before they become light (energy) limited (see simulations in Flynn, 2002).

### 5. Turgor pressure and turgor-resisting cell walls

Some attributes of colonial and multicellular algae are shared to varying degrees by large, vacuolate unicells. Here the thicker cell walls (Raven, 1982, 1995a) of those with turgid cells replace the extracellular materials within the colony as having the greater potential for increased screening of UV-B, compared with individual small cells. The only photosynthetic cells of this kind are eukaryotic (e.g. diatoms, dinoflagellates, prasinophytes and phycmata), although some sulphide-oxidizing chemolithotrophic bacteria have 'eukaryotic' nongaseous vacuoles (Raven, 1982, 1997a). According to the Laplace relationship, turgid eukaryotic cells devote the same fraction of their volume to cell wall material, regardless of cell size, given a particular cell wall material, turgor pressure and mechanical safety factor (Raven, 1982, 1995a). This relationship applies also to the turgor-resisting peptidoglycan layer of cyanobacterial cell walls (Hoiczky & Hansel, 2000). The nonturgor-resistant theca of dinoflagellates was not found to significantly increase the carbon (C) per unit volume when thecate and atehcate species were compared over a large size range (Menden-Deuer & Lessard, 2000). Villareal *et al.* (1999) showed that the previously determined relationship between silicon (Si) content and cell volume for diatoms up to a volume  $10^6 \mu\text{m}^3$  applied to cells up to a volume of  $10^9 \mu\text{m}^3$ , so that there is a tight linear relationship between cell volume and cell Si content, as predicted by the Laplace relationship. These relationships apply to cells growing under near-optimal resource supply conditions; under resource limitation the Si content is increased (see Raven & Waite, 2004). For a given fraction of the maximum growth rate, the ballast effect of  $\text{SiO}_2$  is the same for a large unicell as for a colony of the same volume. However, because larger cells have a larger fraction of the cell volume occupied by vacuole than do smaller cells (Raven, 1995b; Menden-Deuer & Lessard, 2000), any moderating effect of changed vacuolar composition (Boyd & Gradmann, 2002; Raven & Waite, 2004) on overall cell density would be greater in a large unicell than in a colony of the same volume, assuming no greater contribution of extracellular polysaccharide, which is not part of the regular cell wall, in colonies than in unicells. Even vacuolate diatoms that might be expected to

have adequate storage volume for dissolved low  $M_r$  solutes store high-density polyphosphate (Diaz *et al.*, 2008). In addition, the amount of Si deposited in the diatom cell wall increases markedly with non-Si induced growth limitation (Martin-Jézéquel *et al.*, 2000).

However, large three-dimensional colonies typically have less than half of their volume occupied by cells, so that there is less wall material per unit colony volume than there is in a cell of the same size. Particularly in cyanobacteria, the rest of the volume contains extracellular polysaccharides other than turgor-resisting cell wall material; this extracellular material can bear UV-B-screening compounds such as scytonemin (Proteau *et al.*, 1993). The question of a turgor-resisting wall does not arise in cells with flagellar motility, as the necessarily wall-less flagella are in hydraulic connection with the main cell (Raven, 1982, 1995a).

### V. Elemental stoichiometry

The elemental stoichiometry of colonies and multicellular organisms compared with large or small unicells is poorly understood. Similarity of growth conditions is a major problem when comparing the contents of the elements commonly investigated in this context (C (organic and inorganic forms), N, P and Si). What is known is considered in the Supporting Information (Text S1), and shows that there is only limited information from which comparisons of the compositions of large unicells and of colonies can be drawn, and even less for symbioses.

### VI. Allometry of specific growth rates and specific metabolic rates

Among diverse organisms, specific growth rate has been observed to decline as a function of body size. This has been argued to relate functionally to the tendency of larger organisms to have lower mass-specific rates of metabolism, which in part fuels growth. Such relationships are often characterized using allometric scaling, where the dependence of a trait ( $T$ ) on body size ( $V$ ) is represented using the form  $T = cV^\alpha$ . Here  $c$  is a constant, and  $\alpha$  is a 'scaling exponent,' which is positive if  $T$  is greater in larger organisms, and negative if  $T$  is greater in smaller organisms (as is often the case for specific growth and metabolic rates). The allometric scaling of specific growth and metabolic rates has been characterized in phytoplankton, with considerable data for unicells, rather less for colonies, and none that we could find for symbioses (see Supporting Information, Text S1). Data considered do not permit a definite conclusion as to whether there is a difference in allometric scaling with organism size between unicells and colonies. The situation is complicated by variations in scaling factor among clades of unicellular phytoplankton for resource-saturated growth and the differences in scaling factor for resource-saturated and resource-limited growth. A further complication is introduced

by the reported variations in specific growth and metabolic rates for different organisms as a function of colony size, with some reports showing the 'expected' (on physical grounds) decrease in growth and metabolic rates with colony size while others indicate an increase in these rates with increasing colony size.

There is a general positive correlation of cell volume, nuclear DNA content and the number of copies of the rDNA operon within and among clades (Zhu *et al.*, 2005; Mendell *et al.*, 2008; von Dassow *et al.*, 2008). Although the rDNA operon copy number also correlates with the maximum specific growth rate, for phytoplankton the scaling of maximum specific growth rate and cell size within a clade shows that the predominant correlation is with cell size (Zhu *et al.*, 2005).

## VII. Trophic interactions

We have already mentioned trophic interactions between symbionts in diazotrophy and phototrophy (Section II). Phagotrophy by hosts underlies these symbioses, for each generation when there is horizontal transmission of symbionts, and at the origin of the symbiosis when there is vertical transmission. Phagotrophs in extant photosynthetic phytoplankton also include organisms that can ingest solid particles as immediate food sources as well as, or instead of, using photosynthetic prey items as symbionts (Laybourn-Parry *et al.*, 1997; Raven, 1997b; Laybourn-Parry & Marshall, 2003; Umrein *et al.*, 2007). Mixotrophy is not further considered here.

Turning to more widespread trophic interactions, that is, the consumption of planktonic phototrophs by grazers, the fossil record gives little information as to the nature of grazers on phytoplankton and only fragmentary information on colonial and multicellular planktonic primary producers (Tomitani *et al.*, 2006; Butterfield, 2007). However, there are data for the extant freshwater green alga *Scenedesmus* (the generic name used by the authors of the various papers, although some of the organisms may now be classified as *Desmodesmus*; Johnson *et al.*, 2007) and for *Phaeocystis* and *Microcystis* showing that there are phenotypic (acclimatory) responses of colony size to the presence of grazers. *Scenedesmus* and *Desmodesmus* can form colonies with one, two, four or eight cells. *Scenedesmus acutus* switched from unicellular to colonial (four to eight cells in a colony) upon exposure to the cladoceran grazer *Daphnia magna* (Lampert *et al.*, 1994). This work has been extended to other species of *Scenedesmus*, to *Chlorella* and to other grazers (Lürling *et al.*, 1997; Boraas *et al.*, 1998; Lürling & Van Donk, 2000; Mayeli *et al.*, 2004; Verschoor *et al.*, 2004; Lürling, 2006; Verschoor *et al.*, 2007), showing that the response is relatively widespread, but that the formation of colonies in the presence of grazers does not restrict grazing by all grazers tested, and that the strategy can be undermined by nutrient limitation of the alga. For *Phaeocystis* and *Microcystis* there is a much greater range of colony sizes than in *Scenedesmus*, as

there is no fixed number of cells per colony. Jakobsen & Tang (2002), Tang (2003) and Nejstgaard *et al.* (2007) showed that there was an increase in colony size in the presence of grazers in *Phaeocystis globosa*. Subsequent work on this organism showed that the presence of ciliate grazers that cannot feed on large colonies significantly enhances colony formation, while colony formation is significantly depressed in the presence of copepod grazers that only feed on colonies; chemical cues are involved here (Long *et al.*, 2007). *Microcystis aeruginosa* also shows an increase in colony size and mucilage production as a result of grazing by flagellates (Yang *et al.*, 2008).

Mucilage production is not restricted to colonial or multicellular organisms, and is found in phytoplankton over a wide size range. Mucilage acts as a feeding deterrent, through a direct physical effect and/or its influence on food quality as a result of the high C:N and C:P ratios.

Coloniality can provide protection from viral attack in *Phaeocystis pouchetii*; colonies had no viral infections under conditions in which unicells were infected (Jakobsen *et al.*, 2007). It is not clear if this protection of colonies is related to the pellicle identified by Hamm *et al.* (1999). Even if viral infection occurs within a colony there is the possibility of chemical cues from the infected cells inducing apoptosis in other, genetically identical cells in the colony. This would diminish the virus burst size from the colony, and other kin cells in other colonies would be protected by a lower viral density in their environment. So, of course, would hosts of that virus that had different genotypes from those whose apoptotic response decreased the number of viral particles, so the mechanism works best in natural selection terms with very host-specific viruses. At the other extreme of organism size, very small unicells could be immune from infection by large viruses because the burst size from the limited resources in a small cell would not be sufficient to maintain the viral population (references in Raven, 2006). However, the smallest known oxygenic photolithotroph, *Prochlorococcus*, has cyanophages, so the burst size argument would only apply to significantly larger viruses than the known cyanophages. These considerations, like those of apoptosis, apply to viruses with restricted host ranges (see Raven & Waite, 2004).

## VIII. Global significance of large unicells, colonies and multicellular organisms

Large phytoplankton unicells are relatively uncommon in terms of habitats in which they are significant contributors to biomass and productivity. The giant diatom *Ethmodiscus* is found in oceanic gyres and only occurs at up to 1–5 cells m<sup>-3</sup>, or 0.48–2.4 µmol organic C m<sup>-3</sup> (Villareal *et al.*, 1999). Estimates of the specific growth rate of *Ethmodiscus* in natural conditions range from 0.06 to 0.39 d<sup>-1</sup> (Villareal *et al.*, 1999).

Colonies of the marine diazotrophic *Trichodesmium* can reach densities of 140 per m<sup>3</sup> in the subtropical North Pacific Ocean; with 182 filaments per colony and 52.7 ng C per

filament, there is 112  $\mu\text{mol C}$  in *Trichodesmium* colonies per  $\text{m}^3$  (Letelier & Karl, 1996). Here, colonies only account for 12% of the total number of *Trichodesmium* filaments, so the total biomass of this cyanobacterium in terms of C is 933  $\mu\text{mol C m}^{-3}$ . Capone *et al.* (1997) cite trichome densities equivalent to 3510  $\mu\text{mol C m}^{-3}$  for the Southwest Tropical Atlantic Ocean, and a specific growth rate of 0.14–0.23  $\text{d}^{-1}$  in culture.

The colonial *Phaeocystis* blooms in relatively eutrophic cooler austral and boreal waters, and the organic C of this alga can reach 160  $\text{mmol organic C m}^{-3}$  (Tungaraza *et al.*, 2003; Gypens *et al.*, 2007), while in other areas single cells of *Phaeocystis* dominate, with up to 17  $\text{mmol C m}^{-3}$  in colonies and 264  $\text{mmol C m}^{-3}$  in cells (Wassmann *et al.*, 2005). Veldhuis *et al.* (2005) found specific growth rates of up to 0.83  $\text{d}^{-1}$  for single cells in nature and in culture, and up to 1.53  $\text{d}^{-1}$  for cells in colonies.

For the multicellular *Volvox* from relatively eutrophic freshwaters, the organic C content in colonies in their natural habitat can reach 115  $\text{mmol C m}^{-3}$  (Kirk, 1998; Desnitski, 2000).

The values for organic carbon in large phytoplankton unicells colonies and multicellular organisms should be considered in the context of the general lack of size scaling of phytoplankton organic carbon biomass with cell size (Finkel, 2007; contrast the conclusions of Shuter, 1978 on the N and P minimal cell quotas discussed in Section V of Supporting Information (Text S1)). The argument relies on the observation of Belgrano *et al.* (2002) that temporally and spatially averaged phytoplankton cell abundance ( $A$ ; cells per  $\text{m}^3$ ) scales as cell volume ( $\text{m}^3$ )  $V$  as  $\sim V^{-0.75}$ , while carbon per cell ( $C$ ; mol C per cell) scales as  $V^{0.75}$ . Accordingly,  $B$ , the carbon biomass in the water

column (mol C per  $\text{m}^3$ ), which is  $CA$ , scales as  $V^{(0.75 - 0.75)}$  or  $V^0$ . Thus the small values of  $V$  for the smallest cells are approximately offset by the large values of  $A$ , and vice versa for the largest cells.

It would be useful if this section could have ended with a survey of the contributions to global biomass and productivity of large phytoplankton cells, colonies and multicellular organisms, with a comparison with the contributions of smaller unicellular phytoplankton cells. However, we have not found sufficient data on which to base such a comparison. It is hoped that the need for such information informs the design and execution of further measurements of the biomass and productivity of aquatic environments.

## IX. Significance of colonies and multicellular organisms relative to large unicells in the phytoplankton

Clearly colonies, pseudocolonies, multicellular organisms and large unicells co-exist in the plankton, globally if not necessarily in the same habitat. Comparisons of the attributes of the three life forms are now considered (Table 3).

The three life forms share the presence of a larger package effect and a thicker diffusion boundary layer around the organism in comparison with otherwise similar small unicells. All three life forms have representatives that can perform N fixation (symbiotic in the case of large unicells), and vertical migration upwards as well as downwards relative to the surrounding water. Can nonflagellate organisms achieve diel vertical migrations over a vertical distance of up to 20 m, as can some dinoflagellates

**Table 3** Advantages and disadvantages of colonies/multicellular organisms relative to single small or large cells, summarized from data and syntheses in the text and the Supporting Information (Text S1)

Aspect	Evolutionary advantages of colonies/multicellular organisms vs single small cells	Ecophysiological advantages of colonies/multicellular organisms vs single large cells of same mass	Disadvantages of colonial and multicellular life forms
Predation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Avoidance of small grazers</li> <li>Colonies reduce encounter rate so reduce predation</li> <li>Allelopathic compounds diffuse away rapidly from single cells, whereas diffusion limitation leads to a build-up of chemicals around a colony. Far easier for colony to produce a threshold of allelopathic chemicals</li> <li>Anti-predator advantage of mucilage</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Only one cell from a colony needs to survive predation for subsequent reproduction</li> <li>When a predator feeds on a colony, the colony can fragment into smaller individuals that may now be too small for the predator</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>More visible than single small cells</li> <li>Predator can get everything from a concentrated food source</li> </ul>
Viral mortality	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Colonies reduce encounter rate so reduce viral transmission compared with many individual cells</li> <li>Avoidance of some viruses and parasites if a pellicle is present</li> </ul>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Infection of one cell can result in infection of entire colony</li> </ul>

Table 3 continued

Aspect	Evolutionary advantages of colonies/ multicellular organisms vs single small cells	Ecophysiological advantages of colonies/multicellular organisms vs single large cells of same mass	Disadvantages of colonial and multicellular life forms
Resource requirements		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Net nutrient acquisition and thus growth rates could be better in a colonial form than a single large cell</li> <li>• More efficient transport of nutrients among cells in a colony compared with a large single cell. Oxygen and nutrient transport in large cell is a constraint</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Colony may have greater total resource requirements than a single large cell (may have more mitochondria, DNA and mucilage, as well as cell wall) package effects because of self-shading of pigments (minimized by cells in colonies concentrated on its surface compared with large vacuolate unicells)</li> <li>• Total nutrient requirements higher for colonies than for unicells of same total size (?)</li> <li>• Diffusion limitation of inorganic nutrient acquisition in colonies more likely than in isolated cells from those colonies</li> </ul>
Specialization	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Division of labour/specialization is more efficient and only possible with multiple cells acting together (multicellular organisms not colonies)</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Division of labour/specialization is more efficient and only possible with multiple cells acting together (multicellular organisms not colonies)</li> </ul>	
Genome replication		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Genome replication rate slower for larger cells compared with colonies of small cells</li> <li>• More energy and P needed to replicate genome in a large cell</li> <li>• More copies of genome in a colony compared with a single large cell</li> </ul>	
Structural advantages	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Potential for greater C accumulation resulting in increases in day-integrated metabolic rate compared with isolated cells</li> <li>• UV-B screening compounds contained in extracellular material</li> <li>• Greater total mass allows greater motility and vertical migration</li> </ul>		

C, carbon; P, phosphorus.

and *Volvox*, or are they mechanistically constrained to longer cycling times? Whether colonial and multicellular forms can effectively store low molecular mass nutrients such as nitrate and phosphate in their matrix material, as do large vacuolated diatoms, is not yet clear. There is the question of leakiness for extracellular storage. However, *Chlamydomonas* has recently been shown to contain polyphosphate in its cell walls (Werner *et al.*, 2007), which makes P storage in the 'apoplasm' of colonies such as *Volvox* in a form that will not immediately diffuse out seem more plausible, while still leaving open the question of how polyphosphate in the cell wall is synthesized and broken down. Even vacuolar storage of  $\text{NO}_3^-$ , for example, does not account for a significant fraction of the total N in the organism and arguments for selective advantage based upon such accumulations have been questioned (Flynn *et al.*, 2002).

Are there advantages for one or another life form in terms of avoiding a particular size of grazer, granted similar sizes for representatives of the three life forms? There are different alleged selective advantages for colonial, multicellular and large unicell life forms in different cases (e.g. protecting nitrogenase from oxygen in nostocalean differentiated organisms and in the colonial *Trichodesmium*, but not in other cases of multicellularity or coloniality). There is also a possible advantage of variations in organism size through top-down control: avoidance of small grazers by being large, and of large grazers by being small. The potential for large phenotypic variations in size is greater for many colonial algae (and some multicellular algae) than for unicells, and is realized for several genera of colonial algae.

Finally, we must remember that the discussion here, in outlining some possible constraints and opportunities associated

with a number of life forms of phytoplankton organisms, namely, small unicells, large unicells, pseudocolonies, colonies and multicellular organisms, provides only a framework for further work. It is vital that further work aims to provide evidence as to the significance of these features in the fitness of the organisms in a near-natural environment.

## Acknowledgements

This work was part of the allometry and stoichiometry in aquatic ecosystems Working Group 33 supported by the Australian Research Council New Zealand (ARC-NZ) Research Network on Vegetation Function. The University of Dundee is a registered Scottish charity, No: SC015096.

## References

- Agusti S. 1991. Allometric scaling of light absorption and scattering by phytoplankton cells. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences* 48: 763–767.
- Allen JF, Raven JA. 1996. Free-radical-induced mutation vs redox regulation: costs and benefits of genes in organelles. *Journal of Molecular Evolution* 42: 482–492.
- An JY, Sang SJ, Kim BW, Lee JS. 2004. Improvement of hydrocarbon recovery by two-stage cell-recycle extraction in the cultivation of *Botryococcus braunii*. *Journal of Microbiological Biotechnology* 14: 932–937.
- Badger MR, Price GD. 2003. CO<sub>2</sub> concentrating mechanisms in cyanobacteria: molecular components, their diversity and evolution. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 54: 609–622.
- Badger MR, Price GD, Long BN, Woodger FM. 2006. The environmental plasticity and ecological dynamics of the cyanobacterial CO<sub>2</sub> concentrating mechanisms. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 57: 249–265.
- Belgrano A, Allen AP, Enquist BJ, Gillooly JF. 2002. Allometric scaling of maximum population density: a common rule for marine phytoplankton and terrestrial plants. *Ecology Letters* 5: 611–613.
- Berman-Frank I, Lundgren P, Falkowski P. 2003. Nitrogen fixation and photosynthetic oxygen evolution in cyanobacteria. *Research in Microbiology* 154: 157–164.
- Boraas ME, Seale DB, Boxhorn JE. 1998. Phagotrophy by a flagellate selects for colonial prey: a possible origin of multicellularity. *Evolutionary Ecology* 12: 153–164.
- Boyd CM, Grdmann D. 2002. Impact of osmolytes of marine phytoplankton. *Marine Biology* 141: 605–618.
- Butterfield NJ. 2007. Macroevolution and macroecology through deep time. *Palaeoecology* 50: 41–55.
- Capone DG, Zehr JP, Paerl HW, Bergman B, Carpenter EJ. 1997. *Trichodesmium*, a globally significant marine cyanobacterium. *Science* 276: 1221–1229.
- Caron DA, Michaels AF, Awanberg NT, Howse FA. 1995. Primary productivity by symbiont-bearing planktonic sarcodines (Acantharia, Radiolaria, Foraminifera) in surface waters near Bermuda. *Journal of Plankton Research* 17: 103–129.
- Carpenter EJ, Chang J, Coltre M, Schubauer J, Paerl HW, Bebout BM, Capone DG. 1990. Re-evaluation of nitrogenase oxygen-protective mechanisms in the planktonic marine cyanobacterium *Trichodesmium*. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 65: 151–158.
- Courties C, Vaquer A, Troussellier M, Lautier J, Chrétiennot-Dinet MJ, Neveux J, Machado C, Claustre H. 1994. Smallest eukaryotic organism. *Nature* 370: 255.
- von Dassow PO, Petersen TW, Chepurnov VA, Armbrust EV. 2008. Inter- and intraspecific relationships between nuclear DNA content and cell size in selected members of the centric diatom genus *Thalassiosira* (Bacillariophyceae). *Journal of Phycology* 44: 335–349.
- Denny M. 1992. *Air and water. The biology and physics of life's media*. Princeton, NJ, USA: Princeton University Press.
- Desnitski AG. 2000. Development and reproduction of two species of the genus *Volvox* in a shallow temporary pool. *Protistology* 1: 195–198.
- Diaz J, Ingall E, Benitez-Nelson C, Paterson D, de Jonge MD, Mcculty I, Brandes JA. 2008. Marine polyphosphate: a key player in the geological phosphorus sequestration. *Science* 320: 652–655.
- Dodds WK, Gudder DA, Mollenhauer D. 1995. The ecology of *Nostoc*. *Journal of Phycology* 31: 2–18.
- Dotzler N, Taylor TN, Krings M. 2007. A prasinophycean alga of the genus *Cymatosphaera* in the Early Devonian Rhynie Chert. *Review of Palaeobotany and Palynology* 147: 106–111.
- Duysens LNM. 1956. The flattening of the absorption spectrum of suspensions, as compared to that of solutions. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta* 19: 1–12.
- Eppley RW, Tohers JN, McCarthy JJ. 1969. Half-saturation constants for uptake of nitrate and ammonium by marine phytoplankton. *Limnology and Oceanography* 14: 912–920.
- Finkel ZV. 2007. Does size matter? The evolution of modern marine food webs. In: Falkowski PG, Knoll AH, eds. *The evolution of aquatic autotrophs*. New York, NY, USA: Academic Press, 333–350.
- Flynn KJ. 1998. Estimation of kinetic parameters for the transport of nitrate and ammonium into marine phytoplankton. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 169: 13–28.
- Flynn KJ. 2002. Toxin production in migrating dinoflagellates; a modelling study of PSP producing *Alexandrium*. *Harmful Algae* 1: 147–155.
- Flynn KJ, Clark DR, Owens NJP. 2002. Modelling suggests that optimization of dark nitrogen-assimilation need not be a critical selective feature in phytoplankton. *New Phytologist* 155: 109–119.
- Flynn KJ, Fasham MJR. 2002. A modelling exploration of vertical migration by phytoplankton. *Journal of Theoretical Biology* 218: 471–484.
- Flynn KJ, Gallon JR. 1990. Changes in intracellular and extracellular  $\alpha$ -amino acids in *Gloeothece* during N<sub>2</sub> fixation and following addition of ammonium. *Archives of Microbiology* 153: 574–579.
- Flynn KJ, Page S, Wood G, Hipkin CR. 1999. Variations in the maximum transport rates for ammonium and nitrate in the prymnesiophyte *Emiliania huxleyi* and the raphidophyte *Heterosigma carterae*. *Journal of Plankton Research* 21: 355–371.
- Fu F-X, Zhang Y, Bell PRF, Hutchins DA. 2005. Phosphate uptake and growth kinetics of *Trichodesmium* (Cyanobacteria) isolates from the North Atlantic Ocean and the Great Barrier Reef, Australia. *Journal of Phycology* 41: 62–73.
- Ganf GG, Oliver RL, Walsby AE. 1989. Optical properties of gas-vacuolate cells and colonies of *Microcystis* in relation to light attenuation in a turbid, stratified reservoir (Mount Bold Reservoir, South Australia). *Marine and Freshwater Research* 40: 595–611.
- Garcia-Pichell F. 1994. A model for internal self-shading in planktonic organisms and its implications for the usefulness of ultraviolet sunscreens. *Limnology and Oceanography* 39: 1704–1717.
- Graham LE, Wilcox LW. 2000. *Algae*. Upper Saddle River, NJ, USA: Prentice Hall.
- Gypens N, Lacroix G, Lancelot C. 2007. Causes of variability in diatom and *Phaeocystis* blooms in Belgian coastal waters between 1989 and 2003: a model study. *Journal of Sea Research* 57: 19–35.
- Hamm CE, Simson DA, Merkel R, Smetacek V. 1999. Colonies of *Phaeocystis globosa* are protected by a thin but tough skin. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 187: 101–111.
- Heraud P, Beardall J. 2000. Changes in chlorophyll fluorescence during exposure of *Dunaliella tertiolecta* to ultraviolet radiation exposure indicate a dynamic interaction between damage and repair processes. *Photosynthesis Research* 63: 123–134.

- Hoiczky E, Hansel A. 2000. Cyanobacterial cells walls: news from an unusual prokaryotic envelope. *Journal of Bacteriology* 182: 1191–1199.
- Hoppenrath M, Leander BS. 2007. Character evolution in polykrikoid dinoflagellates. *Journal of Phycology* 43: 366–377.
- Hutchins DA, Fu FX, Zhang Y, Warner ME, Feng Y, Portune K, Bernhardt PW, Mulholland MR. 2007. CO<sub>2</sub> control of *Trichodesmium* N<sub>2</sub>-fixation, photosynthesis, growth rates, and elemental ratios: implications for past, present and future ocean biogeochemistry. *Limnology and Oceanography* 54: 1293–1304.
- Jackson GA. 1990. A model of the formation of marine algal flocs by physical coagulation processes. *Deep-Sea Research* 37: 1197–1211.
- Jacobsen A, Larsen A, Martinez-Martinez J, Verity PG, Frischer ME. 2007. Susceptibility of colonies colonial cells of *Phaeocystis pouchetti* (Haptophyta) to viral infection. *Aquatic Microbial Ecology* 48: 105–112.
- Jakobsen HH, Tang KW. 2002. Effect of protozoan grazing on colony formation in *Phaeocystis globosa* (Prymnesiophyceae) and the potential costs and benefits. *Aquatic Microbial Ecology* 27: 261–273.
- Janson S, Wouters J, Bergman B, Carpenter EJ. 1999. Host specificity in the *Richelia*-diatom symbiosis revealed by *hetR* gene sequence analysis. *Environmental Microbiology* 1: 431–438.
- Johnson JL, Fawley MW, Fawley KP. 2007. The diversity of *Scenedesmus* and *Desmodesmus* (Chlorophyceae) in Itasca State Park, Minnesota, USA. *Phycologia* 46: 214–229.
- Jørgensen B-B, Erez J, Revsbech NP, Cohen Y. 1985. Symbiotic photosynthesis in a planktonic foraminiferan, *Globigerinoides saccululifera* (Brady), studies with microelectrodes. *Limnology and Oceanography* 30: 1253–1267.
- Kaiser D. 2001. Building a multicellular organism. *Annual Review of Genetics* 35: 103–123.
- Kahn N, Swift E. 1978. Positive buoyancy through ionic control in the nonmotile marine dinoflagellate *Pyrocystis noctiluca* Murray ex Schuett. *Limnology and Oceanography* 23: 649–658.
- Kirk DL. 1998. *Volvox: molecular-genetic origins of multicellularity and cellular differentiation*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Kirk DL. 2005. A twelve-step program for evolving multicellularity and division of labor. *Bioessays* 29: 299–310.
- Kirk DL. 2007. Oogamy: inventing the sexes. *Current Biology* 16: R1028–R1030.
- Kirk JTO. 1975a. Theoretical analysis of contribution of algal cells to attenuation of light within natural waters. 1. General treatment of suspensions of pigmented cells. *New Phytologist* 75: 11–20.
- Kirk JTO. 1975b. Theoretical analysis of contribution of algal cells to attenuation of light within natural waters. 2. Spherical cells. *New Phytologist* 75: 21–36.
- Kirk JTO. 1976. Theoretical analysis of contribution of algal cells to attenuation of light within natural waters. 3. Cylindrical and spheroidal cells. *New Phytologist* 77: 341–358.
- Kirk JTO. 1994. *Light and photosynthesis in aquatic ecosystems*, 2nd edn. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Köhler-Rink S, Kühl M. 2005. The chemical microenvironment of the symbiotic planktonic foraminifer *Orbulina universa*. *Marine Biology Research* 1: 68–78.
- Kühn S, Raven JA. 2008. Photosynthetic oscillation in individual cells of the marine diatom *Coscinodiscus wailesii* (Bacillariophyceae) revealed by microsensor measurements. *Photosynthesis Research* 95: 37–44.
- Kuroinia T, Suzuki T, Ogawa K, Kawano S. 1981. The chloroplast nuclear distribution, number, size and shape, and model for multiplication of the chloroplast genome during chloroplast development. *Plant and Cell Physiology* 22: 381–396.
- Lampert W, Rothhaupt KO, Vonekert E. 1994. Chemical induction of colony formation in a green-alga (*Scenedesmus acutus*) by grazers (*Daphnia*). *Limnology and Oceanography* 39: 1543–1550.
- Laybourn-Parry J, Marshall WA. 2003. Photosynthesis, mixotrophy and microbial planktonic dynamics in two high Arctic lakes during summer. *Polar Biology* 26: 517–524.
- Laybourn-Parry J, Perriss SJ, Seaton GGR, Rohozinski J. 1997. A mixotrophic ciliate as a major contributor to plankton photosynthesis in Australian lakes. *Limnology and Oceanography* 42: 1463–1467.
- Letelier RM, Karl DM. 1996. Role of *Trichodesmium* spp. in the productivity of the subtropical North Pacific Ocean. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 133: 263–273.
- Levitan O, Rosenberg G, Setlik I, Setlikova E, Grigel J, Klepetar J, Prasil O, Berman-Frank I. 2007. Elevated CO<sub>2</sub> enhances nitrogen fixation for growth in the marine cyanobacterium *Trichodesmium*. *Global Change Biology* 13: 531–538.
- Litchman E, Klausmeier OM, Schofield OM, Falkowski PG. 2007. The role of functional traits and trade-offs in structuring phytoplankton communities: scaling from cellular to ecosystem level. *Ecology Letters* 10: 1170–1191.
- Long JD, Smalley GW, Barsby T, Anderson JT, Hay ME. 2007. Chemical cues induce consumer-specific defenses in a bloom-forming marine phytoplankton. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA* 104: 10512–10517.
- Lürling M. 2006. Investigation of a rotifer (*Brachionus calyciflorus*) – green alga (*Scenedesmus pectinatus*) interaction under non- and nutrient-limited conditions. *Annales di Limnologie – International Journal of Limnology* 42: 9–17.
- Lürling M, De Lange HJ, Van Donk E. 1997. Changes in food quality of the green alga *Scenedesmus* induced by *Daphnia* infochemicals: biochemical composition and morphology. *Freshwater Biology* 38: 619–628.
- Lürling M, van Donk E. 2000. Grazing-induced colony formation in *Scenedesmus*: are there costs in being colonial? *Oikos* 88: 111–118.
- Martin-Jézéquel V, Hildebrand M, Brzezinski M. 2000. Silicon metabolism in diatoms: implications for growth. *Journal of Phycology* 36: 821–840.
- Mayeli SM, Nandini S, Sarma SSS. 2004. The efficacy of *Scenedesmus* morphology as a defense mechanism against grazing by selected species of rotifers and cladocerans. *Aquatic Ecology* 38: 515–524.
- McCarthy JJ, Carpenter EJ. 1979. *Oscillatoria* (*Trichodesmium*) *thiebaultii* (Cyanophyta) in the central North Atlantic Ocean. *Journal of Phycology* 15: 75–82.
- McNaughton EE, Goff LJ. 1990. The role of microtubules in establishing nuclear spatial patterns in multinucleate green algae. *Protoplasma* 157: 19–37.
- Mendell JE, Clements KD, Choat JH, Angert ER. 2008. Extreme polyploidy in a large bacterium. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA* 105: 6730–6734.
- Menden-Deuer S, Lessard EJ. 2000. Carbon to volume relationships for dinoflagellates, diatoms and other protist plankton. *Limnology and Oceanography* 45: 569–579.
- Milligan AJ, Berman-Frank I, Gerchman Y, Dismukes GC, Falkowski PG. 2007. Light-dependent oxygen consumption in nitrogen-fixing cyanobacteria plays a key role in nitrogenase protection. *Journal of Phycology* 43: 845–852.
- Modenutti BE, Balseiro EG. 2002. Mixotrophic ciliates in an S Andean lake: dependence on light and prey on an *Ophrydium naumanni* population. *Freshwater Biology* 47: 121–128.
- Modenutti BE, Balseiro EG, Callieri C, Bertoni R, Queimalinos CP. 2005. Effect of UV-B and different PAR intensities on the primary production of the mixotrophic planktonic ciliate *Stentor araucanus*. *Limnology and Oceanography* 50: 864–871.
- Moisan TA, Mitchell BG. 1999. Photophysiological acclimation of *Phaeocystis antarctica* under light limitation. *Limnology and Oceanography* 44: 247–258.
- Moisan TA, Mitchell BG. 2001. UV absorption by mycosporine-like amino acids in *Phaeocystis antarctica* Karsten induced by photosynthetically active radiation. *Marine Biology* 138: 217–227.

- Moore JK, Villareal TA. 1996. Size-ascend rate relationships in positively buoyant marine diatoms. *Limnology and Oceanography* 41: 1524–1520.
- Nejstgaard JC, Tang KW, Steinke M, Dutz J, Koski M, Antajan E, Long JD. 2007. Zooplankton grazing on *Phaeocystis*: a quantitative review and future challenges. *Biogeochemistry* 83: 147–172.
- Nobel PS. 2005. *Physicochemical and environmental plant physiology*, 3rd edn. San Diego, CA, USA: Academic Press.
- Pedrés-Alió C, Gasol JM, Guerrero R. 1987. On the ecology of a *Cryptomonas phaseolus* population forming a metalimnetic bloom in Lake Cisó, Spain: annual distribution and loss factors. *Limnology and Oceanography* 32: 285–298.
- Peperzak L, Colijn F, Koeman R, Gieskes WWC. 2003. Phytoplankton sinking rate in the Rhine region of freshwater influence. *Journal of Plankton Research* 25: 265–283.
- Ploug H, Stolte W, Epping EHG, Jørgensen BB. 1999a. Diffusive boundary layers, photosynthesis, and respiration of colony-forming planktonic algae, *Phaeocystis* sp. *Limnology and Oceanography* 44: 1949–1958.
- Ploug H, Stolte W, Jørgensen BB. 1999b. Diffusive boundary layers of the colony-forming plankton algae *Phaeocystis* sp. – implications for nutrient uptake and cellular growth. *Limnology and Oceanography* 44: 1959–1967.
- Proteau PJ, Gerwick WH, Garcia-Pichel F, Castenholz R. 1993. The structure of scytonemin, an ultraviolet sunscreen pigment from the sheaths of cyanobacteria. *Cellular and Molecular Life Sciences* 49: 825–829.
- Rabouille S, Salençon M-J. 2005. Vertical migration of the cyanobacterium *Microcystis* in stratified lakes analysed with the YOYO model. II – Influence of mixing, thermal stratification and colony diameter on the biomass production. *Aquatic Microbial Ecology* 39: 281–292.
- Rabouille S, Salençon M-J, Thebault J-M. 2005. Functional analysis of *Microcystis* vertical migration: a dynamic model as a prospecting tool. I. – Process analysis. *Ecological Modelling* 188: 386–403.
- Ramos JBE, Biswas H, Schulz KG, LaRoche J, Riebesell U. 2007. Effect of rising atmospheric carbon dioxide on the marine nitrogen fixer *Trichodesmium*. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles* 21: Art. No. GB2028.1-GB2028.6.
- Raven JA. 1982. The energetics of freshwater algae: energy requirements for biosynthesis and volume regulation. *New Phytologist* 92: 1–20.
- Raven JA. 1984. A cost-benefit analysis of photon absorption by photosynthetic unicells. *New Phytologist* 98: 593–625.
- Raven JA. 1989. Algae on the move. *Transactions of the Botanical Society of Edinburgh* 39: 399–410.
- Raven JA. 1991. Responses of aquatic photosynthetic organisms to increased solar UV-B. *Journal of Photochemistry and Photobiology B: Biology* 9: 239–244.
- Raven JA. 1995a. Costs and benefits of low intracellular osmolarity in cells of freshwater algae. *Functional Ecology* 9: 701–707.
- Raven JA. 1995b. Scaling the seas. *Plant, Cell & Environment* 18: 1090–1100.
- Raven JA. 1997a. The vacuole: a cost-benefit analysis. *Advances in Botanical Research* 25: 59–86.
- Raven JA. 1997b. Phagotrophy in phototrophs. *Limnology and Oceanography* 42: 198–205.
- Raven JA. 1998a. Small is beautiful. The picophytoplankton. *Functional Ecology* 98: 503–513.
- Raven JA. 1998b. Review of Kirk, D.L. (1998). *Volvox: Molecular-Genetic Origins of Multicellularity and Cellular Differentiation*. *European Journal of Phycology* 33: 275–278.
- Raven JA. 2003. Long-distance transport in nonvascular plants. *Plant, Cell & Environment* 26: 73–85.
- Raven JA. 2006. Aquatic viruses: the emerging story. *Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the UK* 86: 449–451.
- Raven JA, Ball LA, Beardall J, Giordano M, Maberly SC. 2005a. Algae lacking carbon-concentrating mechanisms. *Canadian Journal of Botany* 83: 879–890.
- Raven JA, Finkel ZV, Irwin AJ. 2005b. Picophytoplankton: bottom-up and top-down controls on ecology and evolution. *Vie et Milieu* 55: 209–215.
- Raven JA, Giordano M, Beardall J. 2008. Insights into the evolution of CCMs from comparisons with other resource acquisition and assimilation processes. *Physiologia Plantarum* 133: 4–14.
- Raven JA, Richardson K. 1984. Dinophyte flagella: a cost-benefit analysis. *New Phytologist* 98: 593–625.
- Raven JA, Waite AM. 2004. The evolution of silicification in diatoms: inescapable sinking and sinking as escape? *New Phytologist* 162: 45–61.
- Reyes-Prieto A, Weber APM, Bhattacharya D. 2006. Cyanobacterial contributions to algal nuclear genomes is primarily limited to plastid functions. *Current Biology* 16: 3230–3235.
- Reynolds CS. 2007. Variability in the provision and function of mucilage in phytoplankton: facultative responses to the environment. *Hydrobiologia* 578: 37–45.
- Riegger L, Robison D. 1997. Photoinduction of UV-absorbing compounds in Antarctic diatoms and *Phaeocystis antarctica*. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 160: 13–25.
- Rink S, Kuhl M, Bijma J, Spero HJ. 1998. Microsensor studies of photosynthesis and respiration in the symbiotic foraminifer *Orbulina universa*. *Marine Biology* 131: 583–595.
- Ruiz J, Macias D, Peters F. 2004. Turbulence increases the average settling velocity of phytoplankton cells. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA* 101: 17720–17724.
- Sand-Jensen K, Pedersen O, Geertz-Hansen O. 1997. Regulation and role of photosynthesis in the colonial symbiotic ciliate *Ophrydium versatile*. *Limnology and Oceanography* 42: 866–873.
- Serikawa KA, Porterfield DM, Mandoli DF. 2001. Asymmetric subcellular mRNA distribution correlates with carbonic anhydrase activity in *Acetabularia acetabulum*. *Plant Physiology* 125: 900–911.
- Serousy HH, Beakes GW, Hack E. 2004. Phylogenetic placement of *Botryococcus braunii* (Trebouxiophyceae) and *Botryococcus sudeticus* isolate UTEX 2629 (Chlorophyceae). *Journal of Phycology* 40: 412–423.
- Shipe RF, Brzezinski MA. 1999. *Rhizosolenia* mats: an overlooked source of silica production in the open ocean. *Limnology and Oceanography* 44: 1282–1292.
- Short MB, Solari CA, Ganguly S, Powers TR, Kessler JO, Goldstein RE. 2006. Flows driven by flagella of multicellular organisms enhance long-range molecular transport. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA* 103: 8315–8319.
- Shuter BJ. 1978. Size dependence of phosphorus and nitrogen subsistence quotas in unicellular microorganisms. *Limnology and Oceanography* 23: 1248–1255.
- Smith REH, Kalf J. 1982. Size-dependent phosphorus uptake kinetics and cell quota in phytoplankton. *Journal of Phycology* 18: 275–284.
- Solari CA, Ganguly S, Kessler JO, Michod RE, Goldstein RE. 2006a. Multicellularity and the functional interdependence of motility and molecular transport. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA* 103: 1353–1358.
- Solari CA, Kessler JO, Michod RE. 2006b. A hydrodynamic approach to the evolution of multicellularity: flagellar motility and germ-soma differentiation in volvoclean green algae. *American Naturalist* 167: 537–554.
- Swift E, Meunier V. 1976. Effects of light intensity on division rate, stimutable fluorescence and cell size in the oceanic dinoflagellates *Dissodinium lunula*, *Pyrocystis fusiformis* and *P. noctiluca*. *Journal of Phycology* 12: 14–22.
- Tang KW. 2003. Grazing and colony size development in *Phaeocystis globosa* (Prymnesiophyceae): the role of a chemical signal. *Journal of Plankton Research* 25: 831–842.
- Tchernov D, Lipschultz F. 2008. Carbon isotopic composition of *Trichodesmium* spp. colonies off Bermuda: effects of colony mass and season. *Journal of Plankton Research* 30: 21–31.
- Ting CS, Hsieh C, Sunararaman S, Manella C, Marko M. 2007. Cryoelectron tomography reveals the comparative three-dimensional

- architecture of *Prochlorococcus*, a globally important marine cyanobacterium. *Journal of Bacteriology* 189: 4485–4493.
- Tomitani A, Knoll AH, Cavanagh CM, Ohno T. 2006. The evolutionary diversification of cyanobacteria: molecular-phylogenetic and palaeontological perspectives. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA* 103: 5442–5447.
- Tungaraza C, Rousseau V, Brion N, Lancelot C, Gichuki J, Bayens W, Goyens L. 2003. Contrasting nitrogen uptake by diatom and *Phaeocystis*-dominated phytoplankton assemblages in the North Sea. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology* 292: 19–41.
- Umrein F, Massara R, Alonso-Saez L, Gasol JP. 2007. Significant year-round effect of small mixotrophic flagellates on bacterioplankton in an oligotrophic coastal system. *Limnology and Oceanography* 52: 456–469.
- Van den Hoek C, Mann DG, Jahns HM. 1995. *Algae. An introduction to phycology*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Veldhuis MJW, Brussard CPD, Noordeloos AAM. 2005. Living in a *Phaeocystis* colony: a way to be a successful algal species. *Harmful Algae* 4: 841–858.
- Verschoor AM, van der Stap I, Helmsing NR, Lurling M, van Donk E. 2004. Inducible colony formation within the Scenedesmaceae: adaptive responses of infochemicals from two different herbivore taxa. *Journal of Phycology* 40: 808–814.
- Verschoor AM, Zadereev YS, Mooij WM. 2007. Infochemical-mediated trophic interactions between the rotifer *Brachionus calyciflorus* and its food algae. *Limnology and Oceanography* 52: 2109–2119.
- Villareal TA, Joseph L, Brzezinski MA, Shipe RF, Lipshultz F, Altabet MA. 1999. Biological and chemical characteristics of the giant diatom *Ethmodiscus* (Bacillariophyceae) from the Central North Pacific Gyre. *Journal of Phycology* 35: 896–902.
- Vogel H, Grieninger GE, Zetsche KH. 2002. Differential messenger RNA gradients in the unicellular alga *Acetabularia acetabulum*. Role of the cytoskeleton. *Plant Physiology* 129: 1407–1416.
- Walsby AE. 1994. Gas vesicles. *Microbiological Reviews* 58: 94–144.
- Walsby AE, Holland DP. 2006. Sinking velocities of phytoplankton measured on a stable density gradient by laser scanning. *Journal of the Royal Society Interface* 3: 429–439.
- Wassmann P, Ratkova T, Reigstad M. 2005. The contribution of single and colonial cells of *Phaeocystis pouchetii* to spring and summer blooms in the north-eastern North Atlantic. *Harmful Algae* 4: 823–840.
- Werner TP, Amrhein N, Freimoser FM. 2007. Inorganic polyphosphate occurs in the cell wall of *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* and accumulates during cytokinesis. *BMC Plant Biology* 7: 1–11.
- White AE, Spitz YH, Letelier RM. 2006. Modelling carbohydrate ballasting by *Trichodesmium* spp. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 323: 35–45.
- Wilson AE, Wilson WA, Hay ME. 2006. Intraspecific variation in growth and morphology of the bloom forming cyanobacterium *Microcystis aeruginosa*. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 72: 7386–7389.
- Woelfl S, Geller W. 2002. *Chlorella*-bearing ciliates dominate in an oligotrophic North Patagonian lake (Lake Pirehueico, Chile): abundance, biomass, and symbiotic photosynthesis. *Freshwater Biology* 47: 231–242.
- Yang Z, Kong F, Shi X, Zhang M, Cao H. 2008. Changes in the morphology and polysaccharide content of *Microcystis aeruginosa* (Cyanobacteria) during flagellate grazing. *Journal of Phycology* 44: 726–720.
- Yoshiyama K, Klausmeier CA. 2008. Optimal cell size for resource uptake in fluids: a new facet of resource competition. *American Naturalist* 171: 59–70.
- Zhu F, Massana R, Not F, Marie D, Vault D. 2005. Mapping of picoeukaryotes in marine ecosystems with quantitative PCR of the 18S rRNA gene. *FEMS Microbiology Ecology* 52: 79–92.

## Supporting Information

Additional supporting information may be found in the online version of this article.

**Text S1** Discussion of topics relating to Sections II, III, IV, V and VI of the main text.

Please note: Wiley-Blackwell are not responsible for the content or functionality of any supporting information supplied by the authors. Any queries (other than missing material) should be directed to the *New Phytologist* Central Office.